TENURED PROFESSOR – MERIT INCREASE

Definition
A merit increase is an advancement in salary rate and step without a change in title or rank.

General Information (see The CALL - Regular Professor Series and The CALL - Summary of Procedures #5)

- Associate Professors are eligible for merit increases every two (2) years
- Full Professors are eligible for merit increases every three (3) years, until attaining the status of Professor IX. Normal service at Step IX and Above Scale is four (4) years.
- The department must consider the candidate’s teaching, research and creative work, professional activity, and University and public service since the last advancement

Submit the following to Luskin:
- Original plus one (1) single-sided copy of the dossier
- Two (2) copies of the Off-Scale Analysis

Dossier Contents in the order below:

- Associate and Full Professor Data Summary cover page
  - When the candidate has a Joint or Split appointment(s), notate all departments and the percentages in the Department section
  - Complete all fields in the Present Status and Proposed Status sections
    - Annual salaries - Refer to Table 1: Faculty – Ladder Ranks – Professor Series, Academic Year
    - Salary must be rounded to the nearest $100
    - Proposed salary rate is marked as TBD if no specific salary is recommended
    - Salary above the proposed step on Table 1 is an off-scale salary
      - The Dean can approve a proposed annual salary up to and including the next step or a proposed annual salary that does not exceed the current percent off-scale. A request to increase off-scale percentage must be explained and justified, and is subject to final approval by the Vice Chancellor.
- If applicable, a copy of the Joint Appointment waiver form
- History Record (entire history; no handwritten entries)
- Data Summary Vote page
  - Be specific as to the motion voted on and the proposed effective date
  - The sum of the vote tally must equal the number eligible to vote (see The CALL, Appendix 4)
  - Votes must add up and match the Departmental Assessment/Director’s letter
- Data Summary, pages 3 - 6 (read instructions on each page)
  - Candidate must initial these pages before committee or department review
  - To ensure that a section is not overlooked, “N/A” or “None” should be notated
  - If the content does not fit on one page, append additional pages and number them with letters, for example 3a, 3b, 3c.
  - Do not assign new numbers to the data summary pages.
    - Note: CAP requests a separate teaching tabulation page with the following information: Quarter, Course, Number of Students, Response, Instructor Rating, Course Rating, and Department Average. Place this page directly behind data summary page 3.
- Bibliography (L & S format must be used)
  - The candidate must initial these pages before committee or department review
  - Mark “Since Last Advancement” in ALL sections
- “Prior” Certification page
  - Must be read by the candidate and signed before committee or department review
  - Bulky items (exhibits, grant proposals, etc.) should include a footnote, “See envelope (or box)”
  - Indicate items supplied by the candidate on the bottom section. Immediately after this page, include:
    - CV, required
    - Self-statement (optional, but strongly encouraged)
o Sabbatical Report, if applicable
o Bias list, if applicable

☐ “After” Certification page
  ▪ Must be read by the candidate and signed after the Department Assessment Letter is finalized
  ▪ Must be dated on or after the department assessment letter

☐ If applicable, candidate’s written response to Department Assessment

☐ Department Assessment/Recommendation Letter
  ▪ This is the letter setting forth the department’s recommendation, written under the Chair’s signature
  ▪ The first sentence must include the candidate’s name, action, proposed rank and step, salary and whether the rate is off-scale, vote and effective date
  ▪ Voting faculty have the right to review the letter prior to the candidate’s review (see memo from former VC)

☐ If applicable, Chair’s individual recommendation (submit in a separate statement)

☐ If applicable, Departmental ad hoc or standing committee report

☐ If applicable, Department’s UC interdisciplinary sample solicitation letter with Statement of Confidentiality

☐ If applicable, letters of evaluation from UC interdisciplinary entities (noted on the Prior Cert Page)

☐ Peer evaluation of teaching must be submitted

☐ If applicable, Department’s unsolicited sample solicitation letter with Statement of Confidentiality
  ▪ If an unsolicited letter of evaluation is received, a letter should first be sent to the writer setting forth the Statement of Confidentiality (The CALL, Summary of Procedures #10), asking that individual to respond whether in light of this policy the letter of evaluation should be included or returned. Conclude that if no response is received by an assigned date, it is assumed that the writer agrees to the inclusion of the evaluation letter.
  ▪ Write “Unsolicited” at the top right corner of letter

☐ If applicable, Unsolicited letters (see Helpful Hints for more information)
  ▪ All unsolicited letters received must be included in the dossier

Opus
Process the action in Opus, and submit to the Dean’s Office queue before the hard copies of the dossier are delivered.

Publications and Teaching Evaluations
Do not submit these to Luskin, but they should be available upon request by the Dean.

Routing and Approval
The Luskin analyst will review the case then forward it to the appropriate Dean for review. The Dean has final approval authority on the merit action (except for merits to Associate Professor, Steps IV & V, and Chair’s merits). The Vice Chancellor has final approval authority for off-scale salaries beyond the Dean’s authority (salary that exceeds the next step or off-scale salary exceeding the current percent off-scale).

The Luskin analyst will notify the department of the outcome.