ASSOCIATE & FULL RESEARCHER – MERIT INCREASE (ON-TIME/ACCELERATED/DEFERRED)

Definition
- Merit is the advancement in step within a given rank
- An accelerated merit is the advancement in step in advance of eligibility or when skipping a step
- A deferred merit occurs when a candidate does not advance at the normal period of time

General Information (see The CALL, Professional Research Series)
- An Associate Researcher (I-III) is eligible for normal merit increases every two (2) years
- A Full Researcher (I-VIII) is eligible for normal merit increases every three (3) years or four (4) years (IX-AS/FAS)
- Acceleration requires evidence of exceptional achievement and must be justified
- One (1) year accelerated merit increases for the Associate rank and one (1) or two (2) year accelerated merit increases for the Full rank follow the review procedures for standard merit increases
- Skipping a step: two (2) or more years acceleration for the Associate rank and three (3) or more years acceleration for the Full rank require submission of publications and undergo review by CAP
- Second consecutive 2-year acceleration for Full Researchers requires CAP review
- Subject to 5-year reviews (clock starts 07/01/13)
- Service is required. Some examples of service activities are: service to community, state, nation, professional organizations, when the work done is at a sufficiently high level and quality, and service activities related to the improvement of elementary and secondary education.
- The effective date for merit increases is July 1st
- Review the candidate’s research performance since the last personnel action
- Additional procedural information can be found in the Summary of Procedures, #9

Submit the following to Luskin:
- Original plus one (1) single-sided copy to Luskin
  - An additional copy is needed when the case undergoes CAP review as noted above
- Publications. See below for details*

Dossier Contents in the order below:
- Associate and Full Professional Research Data Summary cover page (emailed to Luskin Analyst)
  - Comment after the effective date if the action is “accelerated” or “deferred” and number of years
  - Annual salaries are to be taken from Table 13, Professional Research Series Salary Scale
    - Salary above the proposed rank and step is considered off-scale
    - The Dean can approve an initial off-scale salary up to and including the next step or when maintaining current o/s percent, all other off-scale salary requests require justification for Vice Chancellor approval
- History Record (entire history; no handwritten entries)
- Data Summary, page 2
  - Candidate must initial this page, bottom right corner
- Bibliography (L&S bibliography format must be used)
  - Candidate must initial pages, bottom right corner, before any committee or departmental review
  - Mark “Since Last Advancement” in ALL sections
  - Refer back to the last action to make sure nothing is missing or duplicated
- "Prior" Certification page
  - Must be read by the candidate and signed before any departmental review (APM 220-80, c)
  - Information and evidence supplied by the candidate must be listed on bottom portion of this page (CV, self-statement, etc.)
- Option not to submit a Bias List must be indicated

- Bias List, optional
- Self-Statement, optional
- "After" Certification page
  - This page must be read by the candidate before signing (APM 220-80, e)
  - Must be signed after the date on the “Prior” page and the departmental assessment
- If applicable, candidate's written response to department assessment
  - See APM 220-80, e and “After” certification page I and III
  - The candidate’s written response should be included in the file, if appropriate

- Department Assessment
  Dean's Final (Proposed salary that does not exceed the Dean’s authority)
  - Addressed to the Dean from the Chair, or from the principal investigator and endorsed by the Chair, recommending the merit
    - The first sentence must include the candidate’s name, proposed rank and step, percent time, salary and whether the rate is off-scale, and effective date
    - Include justification for the proposed off-scale and/or acceleration, if applicable
  - 2 page maximum
  
  Vice Chancellor's Final (Proposed salary that exceeds the Dean’s authority and when skipping a step)
  - Addressed to the Vice Chancellor from the Chair, or from the principal investigator and endorsed by the Chair, recommending the merit
    - The first sentence must include the candidate’s name, proposed rank and step, percent time, off-scale salary, and effective date
    - Include justification for the proposed off-scale, increase in existing off-scale and/or acceleration
  - 2 page maximum

- Chair's individual recommendation, optional
- Departmental ad hoc or standing committee report, if applicable
- CV

Publications (only when skipping a step)
Boxes must be clearly labeled on both sides to include candidate’s name, department(s), action, effective date, box number (e.g. 1 of 2), etc.
- All publications since last review must be submitted, including in-press and work-in-progress manuscripts, if available.
- Publications must be numbered and stapled.
- Note: A copy of the bibliography must be placed in the box or envelope (check off the items submitted).

Opus
Initiate the action and track the case by entering the appropriate dates in Opus as the case progresses. Current actions must be entered in Opus and submitted to the Dean’s Office queue before the hard copies of the dossier are delivered to the Dean’s Office.

Routing and Approval – On-time/Accelerated/Deferred
The Luskin Analyst will review the case then forward it to the Dean for review. The Dean has final approval authority on all merit increases. The Dean has authority to approve the initial off-scale salary up to the next step or when maintaining current off-scale percent. The Vice Chancellor has final approval authority for off-scale salaries beyond the Dean's authority. When the necessary approval(s) have been obtained, the Luskin Analyst will follow internal protocol and notify the department.
Routing and Approval – Skipping a step or consecutive 2-year acceleration
The Luskin Analyst will inspect the case then forward it to the Dean for review and comment. The case is then sent to APO who will send it to CAP for review and recommendation. When the necessary approval(s) have been obtained, the Luskin Analyst will follow internal protocol and notify the department.

Reappointment Letter Associated with a Merit Increase
If an existing renewal form was approved, the current %, and end date remains in effect (no new renewal form is needed, instead the existing renewal form is honored).

Please note: In lieu of a reappointment letter, an updated renewal form may be submitted reflecting the approved step and salary.

After receiving the approved cover page, send a PDF of the Chair’s merit letter addressed to the candidate to the Luskin Analyst. (Label the file by candidate’s last name, first initial - merit letter - fiscal year. For example, “Bruin, J. - Merit Letter – 15-16”)

- Letter must include the following:
  - title of the position
  - salary rate or WOS
  - name of the department in which the appointment is located
  - beginning and ending dates of the appointment
  - percentage of time
  - general responsibilities
  - name of the individual to whom the academic appointee reports
  - "This appointment is for a specific term, with an ending date as herein set forth, and is not for a longer period unless express written notification is so given to the appointee. In the absence of such notification, the appointment ipso facto terminates on the designated ending date."
- This letter may include the candidate’s signature